

#### 2014 CONSTRUCTION RESEARCH CONGRESS

### **ATLANTA, USA, 19-21 MAY 2014**

#### FINANCIAL PROVISION FOR CONSTRUCTION HEALTH AND SAFETY

PROF JOHN SMALLWOOD
DEPT. OF CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT
NELSON MANDELA METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY
DR FIDELIS EMUZE
DEPT. OF BUILT ENVIRONMENT
CENTRAL UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY
SOUTH AFRICA

© 2014 : Prof JJ Smallwood



### Introduction

- Procurement plays a major role in H&S
- Award of contracts on the basis of competitive tendering is problematic
- South African standard conditions of contract generally make vague reference to H&S
- Wells and Hawkins (2009) advocate that H&S should be separately priced - prime cost items, provisional sums, or the use of another form of pricing mechanism
- Periodic study undertaken to determine:
  - Perceived importance of H&S
  - Extent to which H&S has been / is addressed by contract documentation
  - Perceptions relative to the financial provision for H&S
  - Potential of interventions to contribute to an improvement in H&S



### **Conditions of Contract**

- Predominating standard forms of contract used in South Africa make explicit or implicit reference to the fact that the forms of contract are subject to legislation impacting on construction H&S [cidb (2009]:
  - General Conditions of Contract (GCC) does not make any explicit reference to H&S other than the requirement for 'reporting of accidents' (civil engineering construction)
  - Joint Building Contracts Committee (JBCC) does not make any explicit reference to H&S, but does make explicit reference to the parties complying with all laws, regulations and bylaws regarding the execution of the works (building construction)
  - International Federation of Consulting Engineers (FIDIC) and the New Engineering Contract (NEC) (of overseas origin) make specific reference to H&S - some cases the terminology or referencing does not fully align with the requirements of the South African H&S legislative framework

## **Construction Regulations (1)**

- "Surveyor specifying articles or drawing up specifications" included under definition of designer
- Relative to Structures 9 (2) designers are required to:
  - Provide clients with all relevant information that may affect the pricing of the work
  - Inform Principal Contractors (PCs) of any dangers or hazards and provide information for the safe construction of the design
  - Include a geo-science technical report, the design loading of the structure, and the methods and sequence of construction in a report made available to the PC
  - Modify the design or make use of substitute materials where the design necessitates the use of dangerous structural or other procedures, or materials hazardous to H&S

## **Construction Regulations (2)**

- Clients required to:
  - Prepare and provide Principal Contractor with H&S specifications
  - Provide PC with any information that may affect H&S
  - Provide sufficient H&S information when changes made to design and construction
  - Ensure that PCs have made provision for the cost of H&S in their tenders



## Provision for H&S: Appropriate percentage? (1)

- Research conducted in Hong Kong contractors set aside
   < 0.5% and some < 0.25% of project value (Tang et al., 1997)</li>
- The maximum payment for all H&S items in terms of the Hong Kong 'Pay for Safety' scheme was set at approximately 2% of the estimated value of the contract on small projects, and 1% on large projects (Wells and Hawkins, 2009)
- Research conducted in South Africa 0.22% of project cost (Smallwood, 1992)
- Subsequent 'cost of H&S' study (Smallwood, 2004):
  - 'Better practice' H&S GCs 8 responded to the 'cost of H&S' question
  - Percentage 'PC' cost of H&S constitutes of total project cost:
    - 3% (1 No.)
    - 0.5% (1 No.)
    - $0 \le 1\%$  (3 No.)
    - $> 1 \le 2\%$  (3 No.)



## Provision for H&S: Appropriate percentage? (2)

 Mean percentage H&S constitutes of tender and project cost to be 1.6% and 1% respectively (Smallwood, 2011)



### Research – Sample stratum

- 60 Medium and large sized general contractor (GC) members of the East Cape Master Builders Association (ECMBA)
- Response 11 Responses were received which equates to a response rate of 18.3%



# Research – Findings (1)

Document / Reference	U	Minor	MS	Rank				
		1	2	3	4	5		
General Conditions of	9.1	36.4	36.4	18.2	0.0	0.0	1.80	1
Contract (CoC)								'
FIDIC (CoC)	72.7	18.2	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	1.67	2
Model preambles	0.0	63.6	27.3	9.1	0.0	0.0	1.45	3
JBCC (CoC)	0.0	90.9	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	1.18	4
Standard System of	0.4	00.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	1.00	
Measuring Builders Work	9.1	90.9			0.0			5
NEC (CoC)	81.8	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.00	6

Table 1: Extent to which documents / references address / mention H&S.



## Research – Findings (2)

	Response (%)							
Form of provision	Unsure	%0	>0% < 20%	>50% <u>&lt;</u> 40%	%09 <del>&gt;</del> %0%	%08 <del>&gt;</del> %09<	>80% < 100%	100%
Provisional sum	0.0	63.6	27.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0
Preliminaries 'item'	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	18.2	36.4	36.4
Detailed H&S preliminaries	9.1	18.2	18.2	0.0	27.3	27.3	0.0	0.0
H&S 'trade' / section	0.0	45.6	27.3	0.0	9.1	9.1	0.0	9.1

Table 2: Basis on which contract documents have facilitated financial provision for H&S subsequent to the promulgation of the Construction Regulations (18 July 2003)



## Research – Findings (4)

	Response (%)							
Statement	Unsure	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	MS	Rank
Contract document enabled financial provision for H&S promotes H&S	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	45.5	45.5	4.36	1
A detailed H&S section should be included in the Preliminaries	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	54.5	36.4	4.27	2
Appropriate contract documentation promotes H&S	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.2	54.5	27.3	4.09	3
Competitive tendering without reference to H&S marginalises H&S	0.0	0.0	9.1	27.3	9.1	54.5	4.09	4
Competitive tendering marginalises H&S	0.0	0.0	9.1	27.3	18.2	45.5	4.00	5
A provisional sum should be provided for H&S in the preliminaries	0.0	18.2	9.1	9.1	18.2	45.5	3.64	7
Standard contract documentation generally makes cursive reference to H&S	0.0	0.0	18.2	18.2	54.5	9.1	3.55	8
H&S specifications are project specific	0.0	9.1	9.1	18.2	36.4	27.3	3.64	6
H&S specifications are included with tender documentation	0.0	9.1	0.0	18.2	72.7	0.0	3.55	9
Contract documentation promotes H&S	0.0	9.1	9.1	27.3	45.5	9.1	3.36	10
H&S specifications highlight hazards	0.0	9.1	36.4	27.3	27.3	0.0	2.73	11
Contractors are afforded the opportunity to price H&S on an equitable basis	0.0	36.4	18.2	18.2	27.3	0.0	2.36	12
Contractors are afforded the opportunity to price items included in H&S specifications on an equitable basis	0.0	27.3	27.3	27.3	18.2	0.0	2.36	13
H&S specifications include designer 'design and construction' method statements	9.1	9.1	45.5	36.4	0.0	0.0	2.30	14

Table 3: Degree of concurrence with statements on a range of strongly disagree to strongly agree.

11



# Research – Findings (5)

Cost type	Yes (%)	Mean (%)
Tender cost estimate	30.0	2.5
Project cost	10.0	NR

Table 4: Percentage H&S constitutes of tender cost and project cost.



## Research – Findings (6)

	Response (%)							
Parameter	U	Not		MS	Rank			
		1	2	3	4	5		
Project quality	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.2	81.8	4.82	1
Project cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	4.73	2
Project time	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	4.73	3
Project H&S	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	36.4	54.5	4.45	4
Environment	0.0	0.0	0.0	54.5	27.3	18.2	3.64	5
Construction ergonomics	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.5	45.5	9.1	3.64	6

Table 5: Importance of project parameters to respondents' organisations.



## **Conclusions (1)**

- Traditional project parameters are still more important to contractors than H&S
- The 'authors' of standard conditions of contract are not committed to H&S, do not view H&S as a project value, and the project environment is not conducive to optimising H&S (in cases barely make reference to / mention H&S and other cases hardly)
- Ditto:
  - Model preambles
  - Standard System of Measuring Builders Work
- Contractors do not know (and compute) the cost of H&S during the tendering and construction phases



## **Conclusions (2)**

 The authors of H&S specifications do not understand the intent and rationale therefore – not all are project specific, do not record residual hazards, and not linked to the facilitating of financial provision for H&S



#### Recommendations

- Employer organisations should raise the status of H&S within their constituencies through the conveyance of the role of optimum H&S in overall project performance
- Lobbying is required ito the extent to which contract documents facilitate / make financial provision for H&S
- Case study research needs to be conducted to determine a reliable mean for the cost of H&S on various categories of projects
- H&S specifications should be project specific, record residual hazards, be included in contract documentation, and be linked to the facilitating of financial provision for H&S



## References (1)

- Construction Industry Development Board (cidb). (2009). Construction Health & Safety Status & Recommendations. Pretoria: cidb.
- Republic of South Africa. 2003. Government Gazette No. 25207 Construction Regulations 2003. Pretoria.
- Smallwood, J.J. 1992. Does Safety and Loss Control cost money? SA Builder / Bouer, May, 26, 28 and 30.
- Smallwood, J.J. 2004. Optimum cost: The role of health and safety (H&S). In: Proceedings of the International Cost Engineering Council 4th World Congress, Cape Town, 17-21 April, Smallwood-J - Optimum Cost-Health & Safety.pdf.
- Smallwood, J.J. 2011. Financial Provision for Health and Safety (H&S) in Construction. In: Proceedings of the CIB W099 Conference, Washington D.C., 24-26 August, F:\data\papers\45.pdf.

© 2014 : Prof JJ Smallwood



### References (2)

- Tang, S.L., Lee, H.K. and Wong, K. 1997. Safety cost optimization of building projects in Hong Kong. <u>Construction</u> <u>Management and Economics</u>, 15 (2), 177-186.
- Wells, J. and Hawkins, J. (2009). <u>Promoting Construction</u> <u>Health and Safety through Procurement: A briefing note for</u> <u>developing countries</u>. London: Engineers Against Poverty, Institution of Civil Engineers (ice).